

## UG5 Agenda / Minutes June 1<sup>st</sup> 2016

- WG 171 update
  - No update to report.
- WG 177 update
  - This is still being worked on. There is no update to report.
- Move Update FRN (Garrett Hoyt)
  - An update to the clarifications on the proposed Move Update (Census Move Update Compliance Method) Federal Register Notice.
  - The Federal Register Notice is scheduled to be published between the dates of June 13-20. Once published, there will be a comment period.
  - A presentation regarding updates to the Move Update (Census Move Update Compliance Method) Federal Register Notice was reviewed by Heather Dyer and Catherine Rose. Some of the items that were clarified were:
    - The threshold changing from 0.8% to 0.5%.
    - Periodicals are now excluded from assessments.
    - Enhancements to the Mailer Scorecard.
  - **Takeaways:**
    - **A clarification on the definition of 'eligible mailpieces' and examples of these mailpieces.**
    - **Sharon Harrison (AT&T) is working on a list of items to include on the Mailer Scorecard.**
  - As a side note, the Pricing and Classifications Federal Register Notice will be published between the dates of June 13-20, as well as a new publication from the Payment & Technologies Team describing their programs and policies and procedures surrounding these programs.
- International Addressing
  - Charles Hunt stated that he received a response from Becky (Product Classification) and she agreed that current requirements are outdated. She stated she will take the suggestions under advisement and that she is consulting with the Engineering and Operations teams before any changes to the policy are made. Also she stated that there will be a meeting in September with the UPU Congress and these concerns will be addressed at that time as well.
  - Eric West volunteered to be a point of contact on International Addressing issues.
- NCOA 100 Record Rule
  - There is no update to report. Robert Nashwick will be reaching out to the new Privacy Officer; however he is on vacation this week. Charles Hunt will speak with him next week regarding this.
- SHA1 to SHA2 conversion update

- The Licensing Team is still conducting surveys on the progress of SHA-256 development. A reminder notice will be sent this week to all SHA-256 developers.
- Glenn Bradberry performed testing on processing speeds between SHA-1 and SHA-256. His findings were:
  - 1MM Records – 1 second for both
  - 10MM Records – 13 seconds for SHA-1 and 15 seconds for SHA-256
  - 100MM Records – 127 seconds for SHA-1 and 152 seconds for SHA-256
  - The above results show there is no real significance time of processing.
  - Processing times were not tested in the Unix environment.
- Programmers are in the process of building Stage 1 & 2 files for SHA-256.
  
- ACS Periodicals reconciliation update
  - Liz Flake stated changes were completed on May 24.
  
- FPARS update
  - Liz Flake stated the updates are gradually being rolled out to different sites.
  
- Colleges and Universities
  - Adding additional testers.
  
- Cass Cycle O (Draft proposal)
  - User Group 5 members have not had a chance to review the list of proposals to CASS Cycle O.
  - Sharon Harrison suggested that User Group 5 vote as to whether there is a need for a CASS Cycle. Her concern was whether the changes on the proposed list was significant enough for there to be a CASS Cycle and the financial impact that it would have on the end users.
    - Robert Nashwick plans to have discussions with members of User Group 5 to discuss the financial impact of a CASS Cycle.
  - As a side note, Eric West had on offline discussion regarding military addresses.
  - **Takeaway: Review the proposed list and add any other items that were not addressed that the mailing industry would like to include.**

[https://ribbs.usps.gov/cassmass/documents/tech\\_guides/CASS\\_Cycle\\_O\\_Suspended/](https://ribbs.usps.gov/cassmass/documents/tech_guides/CASS_Cycle_O_Suspended/)

- Previously listed items:
  - CASS – Vendors allowed to optionally implement some items already
  - NCOA
- Additional Items:
  - CASS: previously discussed items, plus:
  - New Military addresses:
    - Current: PSC, CMR, and UNIT are special street name designators for the military – which causes some special handling requirements to process correctly

- Sample: PSC 34 Box 21
  - Various CASS, NCOA, and other processes need to know about these in order to correctly re-construct an address line from the parsed elements:
    - Primary (House) Number / Range: 21
    - Street Name PSC 34
  - So, products need to know not to make “21 PSC 34”, but to add “BOX” and move the range value to the end of the street address line
- New special designators requested: UMR, OMC
  - ISSUE: CASS, NCOA, and other vendor and client products / processes will need to be updated to properly accept
- Dual meaning of DPV=S: *(Trying to resolve using a data solution rather than testing)*
  - Secondary Address information on input and either
    - 1) USPS does not have secondary address information for that address – input secondary is considered extra information – that may actually be needed to correctly determine delivery / which door to knock on at an address.
      - This is due, in part, to the USPS AMS data not containing all of the actual secondary address information.
    - 2) USPS has secondary address information for the address and it does not match - input secondary is considered invalid
  - ISSUE: USPS looking to implement a \$0.20 penalty on addresses that DPV=S. So, for scenario 1) above, mailers being penalized for providing additional information that is need / will help the USPS make efficient delivery of the package.
  - Do we need to re-define DPV=S to not include scenario 1) – so it returns DPV = Y. OR, split DPV=S into 2 values? *(Add additional value code)*
    - ZIP / State discrepancy (where one ZIP covers addresses in multiple States, but the CASS address only indicates 1 state)
  - 02861, 42223, 59221, 63673, 71749, 73949, 81137, 84536, 86044, 86515, 88063, 89439 & 97635 – were identified with this scenario years ago
  - Today, there are more *(Awareness – Developers to Solution – non testing item?)*
- NCOA: previously discussed items, plus:
  - 100 Record Rule
  - PAF process / requirements
  - Reinforce: Alternate process for NCOALink name matching (multiple name parsing)

- PO Box Only indicator – If a customer supplies an address that does not support street delivery & requires a PO Box, CASS provides an indicator or footnote.
- Tertiary addresses – When an address has multiple secondary addresses, CASS provides a standard format – for example “100 MAIN ST BLDG A UNIT 23” becomes standardized to “100 MAIN ST UNIT A23”. (*CASS engines do so today*)
- PBSA identifier
- Potential cost to mailing industry?