

User Group 5
June 30, 2015

Agenda:

- Military Addressing Concerns:
 - From the new ruling it states that all addresses are to use the UNIT, PSC, CMR number and Box Number. Ship address would no longer be used and the address would follow the new standard. In this instance then the Ship Address USS Abraham Lincoln should have a corresponding new Address such as UNIT Number Box Number. There is no way for us to convert to the new format without the LACS data. Example RR 1 Box 123 changes to 123 Main ST. That is the data that will be required to bring the Fleet ship addresses into compliance with the new format. While the addresses are assigned by the individual branches, just as a municipality or town etc that new data needs to be in LACS for us to systemically convert the information. MPSA does point people to the USPS site for address format for Military and Diplomatic addressing which is a good thing, however the majority of addresses we get come from the Customer and we use point of entry address validation software to ensure we get a good address.
 - 1) Will we be able to convert systemically without the need to contact the customer the old format to the new format (this primarily affects Fleet Addresses on ships)
 - 2) We validate properly when we look up in on Point of Entry software that uses the USPS data when we have the customer on the phone or online
 - 3) We code properly when we run CASS/DPV and NCOA in the batch process
 - 4) This affect our postal discounts when the address was correct and now is not because of the format change?
 - The concern is that there isn't a way to convert the addresses through CASS software. Especially for fleet ships. LACS isn't designed to convert a generic address into individual addresses similar to what is happening with the ship names. Ship names should still certify and get postal discounts. Is there a time when the old formats will be retired? The military has full control over their addresses in AMS. They can change this at their convenience. Angela: I'll follow up to see what the military's plan is on the old style names.
- DNA Table
 - Industry is curious why this was pushed through without a heads-up. This was pushed by package mailers, and had to be done very quickly. It is not required to be used, so would not drive a CASS cycle. The purpose is to indicate if the carrier cannot come to the door. Could this be used with Certified Mail if they can't get a signature? It could be. How was this data collected? From the carriers. How quickly was it captured? When will the vendor information be updated to document this new table? How many addresses are in the DNA table? Over 100k.
- PO Box Only ZIP Codes
 - Can we get a list of these posted publicly on RIBBS? Anyone who subscribes to City/State has access. If this was available pre-mailing, it could prevent some UAA mail. This is only optional for CASS software. This alone wouldn't drive a CASS cycle, but it is

on the list. Leadership will review the list of CASS Cycle items to see if there's critical mass.

- TT 23 Update
 - Review of Move Update scorecard test script. Angela Dyer to send the script to Dan who will sent out to UG5.
- WG 171
 - Found a new case with Certified Mail called "Unclaimed". Still processing a lot of data, next meeting is next week.
- Colleges & University Group
 - Current policy is that Colleges and Universities are Businesses.
- NCOA 100 Record Rule Group
 - If we can get some conceptual alternatives here, we can take it to the Privacy group at USPS. The only suggestion so far is to "certify" the end users who would be authorized to use the service.
- FRN Notice for Move Update clarification
 - This is written and is in internal clearance mode. This clarifies that foreign, MLNA and BCNO are exempted from the move update standard. This also covers NCOALink codes like 5,19, stating that only addresses where the new address is available are required to be updated.
- Other Business
 - None noted.