

UG-5
February 11th 2015
Notes

- UAA Return Codes
 - Continued Discussion (attached notes)
 - How would these changes affect Secure Destruction?
 - Justification of changes...
 - Is this worthy of a Work Group?
 - Notes from MSDG – vendors do not favor a consolidation.
Consolidation might not solve inconsistency issues, can this be solved at training? Can some of the “no such number” info be captured before the carrier? Capturing the data before the carrier could help identify issues in AMS. Sometimes if we mail a piece a second time, it will ultimately get delivered. We have to see 2-3 nixies before we know that an address is really a problem. Temp away and vacant could also be automated. The workgroup should be focused on making the codes more reliable. Will find a time to discuss this at MTAC.

- Micro Strategy (new) Task Team
 - Status of progress (next UG5 meeting?)
Planning 9 total meetings. Getting into the details from previous groups now. Todd will send out the issues list.

- USPS handling of multiple names with special terms (c/o, etc) (Issue 135)
List of name handling from **(Kai working with Adam) TBD**
Will look at next time.

- Work Group Recommendations
 - Review – Priority
 - Next Steps
Leadership group will clean up the issues list to communicate with the group.

Other Business

- ACS vs NCOA – Discrepancies even when using identical names. How does this happen?
Some of this difference is due to the strict matching logic in NCOA. If NCOA had no match but an ACS record was triggered, this might be interesting to look at. Or if ACS had no change but NCOA did. If anyone has samples, please send them in.
- NCOA 100 record rule
- Any new STIDs for the April release?
Appendix A might be a bit out of date, some valid STIDs aren't listed. They're waiting for the pricing to be approved before publishing the new STIDs. But it would be 90 days after publishing that they would be effective. The service would be active after the effective date. It should be in the federal register.
-