

Notes for UG5 10/22/14:

Topics

- AEC I/II
 - Update on USPS discussions
 - Funding is limited, spending spare time on improvements
 - Discussions happening on the payment side of things.
 - Any questionable addresses should be sent to Earl.
- USPS handling of multiple names (Issue 135)
 - List of name handling from Kai – Next Meeting
 - Tabled until the next meeting
- OIG report/audit
 - <https://www.uspsoidg.gov/sites/default/files/document-library-files/2014/it-ar-14-010.pdf>
 - Any takeaways?
 - USPS management is evaluating to see what actions to take, if any
 - OIG estimated \$228 million were at risk, how was this calculated?
 - What is the specific risk with the electronic data? Much of this section was redacted.
 - Would be good to bring up at MTAC with Jim Wilson, as a general topic Sharon and Chris will suggest this topic.
- Miscellaneous topics
 - USPS/USPIS approach to Move Update compliance
 - The Chief inspector will be at MTAC to talk about this
 - USPSIS is doing an indepth analysis of this in conjunction with Pritha
 - Postcom is also pursuing this.
 - What data is actually used to determine compliance? It's based on NCOALink, with some after the fact processes (daily deletes, etc). It uses the strict NCOALink logic, with some things taken out to ensure no one is unduly penalized. However, it's not clear what is being used in Microstrategy. The matches are different from MERLIN. Seeing UAA counted in the error totals, but it's unclear why that would be bundled with Move Update. Would need to get someone from Microstrategy to answer this. This looks like an educational opportunity to ensure that the industry knows how the information is being calculated. Mike Tate: I heard that it is using ACS data. Adam C: it used all the information that USPS has, which would be ACS. Mike: It would be good to have a joint meeting UG5/WG143 to ensure all the questions are answered. After the initial questions are identified, we should communicate the information to a broader group.
 - NCOALink/ACS discrepancies
 - Defining legal constraint and how it factors into the Move Update threshold
 - UAA Secure Destruction and PARS/Nixie/ACS
 - The SD records and ACS are coming in different flows. Trying to match them up has been difficult. There were also some missing COAs due to the ACS

distribution errors that were happening. Kai: we're still working on this. Also investigating some issues with duplicates, which may be related. The ACS distribution notice was a little unclear on how far back the problem went, and how far back things would be fixed. All the missing COAs from the PostalOne deploy should be fixed as the data gets provisioned.

- SD will be rolled out on Nov 18.
- Shawn: are the STIDs posted? I don't see them. Kai: software providers can contact me to get that list as we don't plan to publish this. Will need to get this on a future MSDG call.
- NCOALink 100 unique record rule
 - Jim: there's no movement on this issue. Internally, there are some discussions on setting the number higher to match with automation minimums. Little chance that this number will reduce. Susan: would it be possible to meet with the legal team to explain this issue? This might be difficult to change due to the OIG audit. Jim: I'll try to get legal to meet with industry, perhaps in conjunction with an MTAC meeting.
- University/college indicator
 - There is an "educational" flag in DSF data. The concern is that USPS doesn't include COA data for students moving away from school.
- Expected action on MLNA/BCNO/ foreign COA
 - There currently isn't a document outlining the expected action for these COAs. The federal register notice was withdrawn. Part of the confusion is the use of "should" vs "must", so there's no definitive statement on whether these COAs are subject to the Move Update requirement. The Guide to Move Update states that these are exempt from verifications at the time of acceptance, but not what happens with census verification. Jim: we'll see what we can do to clarify.
- ZIP vs TAR file for EPF fulfillment
 - The data is coming from an UNIX system. Would need to coordinate to switch completely. Shawn: you could post both tar and zip files. For non-Unix systems you have to use third-party programs to uncompress the data.
- Open discussion
 - No other topics.