

**Mailer Technology Advisory Council (MTAC)
Meeting Report
06/01/2016 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM**

USER GROUP 4 (UG4) SESSION

AGENDA

1. IV Update
2. Op Code Changes
3. Defining visibility scenario gaps
4. Political Mail Dashboard
5. Any Other Business

DISCUSSION POINTS

The purpose of this meeting is to provide an ongoing forum to facilitate communications between the Postal Service and users, define and review improvements in process/production functionality and address and resolve issues.

IV™ Update

- An IV™ Update was presented by Amy Cradic, and focused on the IV™ Communications Plan, Message #1, the Pilot Solicitation and How to Apply for IV™.
- The spreadsheet format of the Communications Plan will be posted on RIBBS this week.
- Message #1, still pending review, will be disseminated as soon as approval is granted.
- The Pilot Solicitation will be sent out directly following Message #1.
- Training Slides will be disseminated for offline review to MTAC UG4 members this week, with a request for feedback by Wednesday, June 8th.
- How to Apply for IV:
 - Lisa – inquired about BCG access for those who don't work for the company, and the role of a third-party service provider. Currently the process is to fill out an application and send it to the Help Desk. The question is, what will replace the current form and how would third-party service providers be identified? What steps are in place in order to grant them data delegation permissions and the ability to manage subscriptions?
 - Lisa – Currently, the data delegation is not managed by CRID, rather by Mailer IDs (at the MID level).
 - Lisa – Suggested a review of the current state to determine the gaps in IV™. There are numerous Mailer IDs being managed by third-party mailers.
 - There is no place to identify a third-party provider in eDoc.
 - If three MIDs go to three different places, how would you manage them in IV™?
 - Amy will map out how it is being done today with the paper form to how it will be done in IV™.
 - Tracy added it would be worthwhile to map containers and how data delegation for container scans will be done in the future.

Op Code List

- Himesh presented a list of Op Code Changes to the group that included currently active and repurposed Op

Codes.

- Industry Members reviewed the Op Code List and raised a number of questions.
 - David – Companies are preparing and would like to know what’s relevant to mailers. The concern is that the Op Code list was published a short time ago and it is being altered again. Advance notice to mailers is being requested as they are scheduling their resources for coding and testing. Please provide the most updated list that can be implemented.
 - Lisa – Advised not to work with the full Op code list, as only the IMb Tracing Op Code list is needed.
 - Himesh – would like to decouple from a full Op code list and an IMb Tracing Op Code list, so industry members won’t have to discern between the two and can plan more easily.
 - Shawn – observed that the list displayed is not the full list of op codes, for example: 131, 151, 193, 195 are all missing from the list.
 - Himesh – acknowledged the list is currently being cleansed.
 - Shawn – Will the new Op Code for LDE be coded for Stop-the-Clock?
 - Himesh – No, because Stop-the-Clock is being used for service measurement. LDE for mail being delivered out of the delivery unit is created based on a Stop-the-Clock MPE event, but it is not a Stop-the-Clock event itself.

Defining visibility scenario gaps

- Shawn – A key point is there are no scans for saturation mail and therefore it can’t be entered as FS mail; in eDoc, saturation mail is not eligible for Full Service.
- According to some, they are seeing tray scans that are not associated to FS eDoc being distributed to those who have a subscription.
- The MID on Tray label and MID on container barcode will have default access to handling event data in IV™, simliiar to how the MID on Piece has default access to piece-level handling event data.

Political Mail Dashboard

- The Political Mail Dashboard is being built for the sales team. Data is coming from a common repository for piece level scanning. Political Mail Dashboard will be using the same data pool that IV™ will be using. It is customer accessible.
- Himesh – checked with Steve Dearing and has not found a reference to an All Mail Dashboard.
- Lisa Bowes and Lisa Wurmman – The All Mail Dashboard was mentioned by Jim Cochrane and Robert Cintron in a live presentation.

AOB

- Himesh – USPS is working diligently to convey consistent messaging and communications.

Action Item: Lisa Bowes/Lisa Wurmman to send the date of the presentation that mentioned an All Mail Dashboard to Himesh.

Agenda Item: Place Stop-the-Clock and its use in service measurement vs. a 517 LDE on a future MTAC UG4 agenda, as it is not well understood.

Action Item/Agenda Item: Amy to review a mapped-out process in IV™ for duplicating the IMb Application for 3rd

PRODUCT INFORMATION

Party Service Providers needing access to another company's portal next week, and invite Reggie to participate in the discussion.

Agenda Item: Discuss the need to get to the Visibility Gaps scenarios and delineate by gaps that are technical and those that are policy oriented.