

**Mailer Technology Advisory Council (MTAC)
Meeting Report
08/26/2015 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM**

USER GROUP 4 (UG4) SESSION

AGENDA

- 1) Mailer Scorecard – SPM Exclusions
- 2) IV (continued discussion)
- 3) Handling Unit and Container visibility related to SSF
- 4) AOB

DISCUSSION POINTS

The purpose of this meeting is to provide an ongoing forum to facilitate communications between the Postal Service and users, define and review improvements in process/production functionality and address and resolve issues.

Mailer Scorecard – SPM Exclusions (John Nabor)

- The SPM Tab in the Mailer Scorecard is going to be enhanced to show more details. The new Scorecard is an outcome of Work Group 167.
- John Nabor displayed the enhanced Mailer Scorecard, Scorecard Monthly Filter, Scorecard CRID Filter, SPM Exclusion Landing Page, SPM Exclusion Drill-down Options, Exclusion Details by Exclusion Reasons, Mail Quality Job Exclusion Reason Report and the Mail Quality Job Detailed Exclusion Report. Highlights include:
- CRID selection in The Scorecard mirrored up with other tabs as much as possible.
- A number metric as well as a percentage metric have been provided on the SPM Exclusions Drill-Down report.
- There is a view of exclusion details by exclusion reasons, displayed by container, handling unit and piece detail.
 - Excluded pieces – as with other metrics in scorecard - will be capped at 100 records.
 - Steve Krejcek – The reporting sub group (Tom Glassman and Chip Brown leading) met and made a significant effort to create a document that shows each error type and what data is needed. It also shows the source of duplicate errors. He will provide the report to John Nabor.
 - It was noted that there are inconsistencies in the mis-shipped error data across the tabs.
 - John – There will be some inconsistencies due to the way exclusions impact the percentage scores. USPS is preparing to communicate these in more detail.
 - Angelo – suggested the error column include an error identifier (number). It would also be helpful to have exclusion reason numbers.

Data Access and Data Delegation (Amy Cradic)

- Discussion centered on who is allowed to view the data, and who's allowed to delegate.
- All agreed Mail Owner should have access to the scanned data by default.
- Judy – regarding the piece data that is available to the owner of the MID in barcode, the assumption that the mail owner should automatically be given access to the piece scan is not correct.
 - There is no control over who gets listed as Mail Owner in eDoc.
- Angelo – Typically the MID delegation is occurring when a MID owner delegates to a third party.
- Angelo – Whoever controls the MID and IMb serialization should be able to view tray and pallet scan data and traverse to see other level data. However, it is restricted by mail.dat today.
- Wanda – questioned the reason mail owners receive tray and handling unit scans and not piece or bundle.
- Himesh – Our goal is to establish rights and rules and put applicable controls in place. USPS wants to provide flexibility, and as it stands now, the Mail Owner is at the top of the hierarchy.
- Judy – Requested UG4 members prepare discussion points regarding data delegation, provide to Himesh, and copy Judy, Lisa and Angelo.

PRODUCT INFORMATION

- Amy – agreed to continue next week with “TBD’s” beginning on slide #14.

Handling Unit and Container Visibility related to SSF (Himesh Patel)

- Question from Tracy Sikes: Will IV provide tracking or scanning event related to containers and/or /handling units associated in a Shipping Services File?
 - Often we get packages that are nested to a handling unit – typically it is a sack (rather than tray or tub) that is containerized or loosely inducted.
 - Currently it is not in scope for IV to provision data based on associations in Shipping Services File.
 - Piece level, handling unit and container data will be provisioned by IV for letters and flats. Handling Unit & Containers data associated in Shipping Services File will be provisioned through extracts performed by PTS.

Any Other Business

There were no other business topics discussed.

AGENDA ITEM: Discuss the final ruling on Postnets and Reply Mail.

ACTION ITEM: Industry to provide discussion points on Data Access and Data Delegation.

ACTION ITEM: Industry to send note to Himesh via email to clarify the visibility issue for packages and parcels being inducted.

DRAFT