

MTAC 143 – Undocumented Mail Components Meeting Notes from 3/27/2012

- Garrett wanted to reiterate the problem the group needs to solve:
 - What are the types of mailings, mailer processes, and USPS unsupported mailings today will create undocumented pcs scans.
 - How will the USPS track undocumented mail components back to the owner of the pc only having MID? For undocumented mail pcs – who/how will USPS contact the company responsible for these undocumented pcs?
 - Examples, BAC puts their MIDs on their pcs then hands the mailpcs to MSPs. USPS receives 4000 undocumented mailpcs. Who does USPS contact for those undocumented pcs?
 - USPS doesn't want to make assumptions about who the undocumented mailpcs belong to.
- Stephanie walk the subgroup through the existing USPS functionality to receive eDocumentation from mailers and physical bc scans from USPS then review the logic used to compare the eDoc against physical bc scans.
 - One of the limitations of SASP is the system only receives FS eDoc. Steve K. made the request – SASP should receive all eDoc.
 - Note: any physical IMb scan is going to SASP.
- The subgroup identify **Instances/Problems** causing undocumented mail components:
 - Mailers submit non-FS eDoc to PostalOne! for mailpcs with IMb.
 - If a mailer is using a 3rd party to create mailpc IMbs and mailer documents the By/For in the eDoc where the mailing contains a mixed of FS and BS bcs -> the BS pcs will be considered undocumented pcs.
 - Instances where IMb are printed on mailpcs and those mailpcs are as BC.
 - Mailer submits FS eDoc (PER flat mailings) to P1 – but USPS hand sorts those pcs in bullpens.
 - Instances where physical FS pcs are entered into mailstream - but acceptance clerks manually enter those pstg stmt into P1 due to:
 - Non-support mail.dat/P1 functionality to upload the eDoc. List of unsupported mail products:
 - PEMOD mailings have FS IMb pcs but pstg stmts are manually entered into P1.
 - Comail mixed class (std/PER) have FS IMb on mailpcs but the PER pstg stmt are manually entered into P1
 - Machinable BPM parcels -> pstg stmts are manually entered into P1.
 - P1 outages
 - For instances where P1 is down -> clerks need to manually enter pstg stmts into P1. Mailers need to resubmit FS pstg stmts to receive the discount.
 - USPS has eDoc but not ePostage
 - USPS doesn't have any eDoc
 - FS mailpcs that fallout -> mailer claims single pc -> but mailer doesn't include them into eDoc.
- Mailers are concerned about the data integrity related to MID/CRID system problems.
- The MSTR sometimes show mailpcs that never belonged to a mailer. There's problems with USPS logic associating mailpcs to mailers

- The point was raised - the mailer id on the pc was never intended to identify the mail owner -> it was always supposed to be a license plate to identify a pc.
- Mailers would like to know what MID is supposed to be on the IMcb? Because that's 90% of USPS problem? Because mailers hear different answers from USPS depending on who you ask.
 - USPS would like to investigate if there would be a problem if USPS required the MSP MID to be placed on the pallet and Handling Unit.
- The group needs to define MSP. xxx
- Need to make sure we don't break any downstream business instances where business entities will need to put their MID on mail components.
- Software vendors/MSP will need to build a lookup system -> where USPS presents undocumented pcs to a MSP. The MSP will need the ability to lookup those pcs in their system to track if postage was paid on the pc and by whom.
- The #1 priority for USPS to tackle undocumented mailpcs is first to support eDoc for all mail products.xxx
 - Once USPS can handle eDoc for 100% of mailing cases then we will be able to identify real instances where mailpcs are undocumented.
- When clerks are forced to manually enter pstg stmts -> clerks are required to check a field due to P1 being down -> can we create a solution to link those jobs to those FS pcs to show they were paid for?xxx