

MTAC 143 Workgroup Meeting Minutes 11/11/2011

- 50 members attended this week's meeting.
- Marty wanted to remind everyone that the leadership team is documenting members issues/concerns on an issue lists. The leadership team is the process of responding to the issues.
- The SA VSM team will be visiting the Quad Graphics plant in Martinsburg WV the week of 11/14/2011.
- For the MTAC 143 face-to-face Monday 11/28 1:30pm – 4:30pm EST - the leadership team would like to propose these agenda items:
 - Review the USPS BIDs mail quality data reports.
 - Discuss the SA processes and business rules
- Rules of engagement – how should mailers be activated in the SA?
 - Initially we wanted to activate a mailer's site by CRID and have mailers uniquely identify all mail components.
 - But the industry would have problems using a CRID to activate a mailer's site as SA because not all mailings produced at a mailer's site are FS mailings.
 - Some scenarios, after a mailing is produced at a mailer's site – the mail is transported to a mailer's distribution centers to be copalled.
 - MLOCR mailers will have a problem using a CRID to activate a site as SA.
 - What's the best way for USPS to identify mailers participating in SA? Should USPS activate by mailing rather than by site?
 - Should we issue a MID(s) to mailers to specifically identify SA mailings?
 - Mailers will have No issues adding the MID into the eDoc. Mailers will have problems applying the MIDs to the physical mailpc.
 - Adding MIDs to physical mailpcs will cause a problem in the MLOCR environment.
 - What about using the bc identifier to identify mailings to enter thru the SA channel?
 - For OEL flat mailings, we would lose all information related to OEL.
 - How about CRID and MID combination in the eDoc?
 - What can be used to identify/differentiate between SA mailings from other non-SA mailings as mailings are processed through the USPS mailstream?
 - The workgroup needs to document reasons why a mail would drop out of the SA process (constraints).
 - For scenarios where postage has already been paid and mail has been dispatched but the mailer discovered problems after the fact that would cause mailpcs/mailings drop out

of SA. Does USPS need to provide a XML msg that excludes those mailpcs from eDoc as being enter thru the SA channel? This XML msg would enable the mailer to avoid SA related errors and consequences and will pay up and change status of intended mailing.

- Need to provide the ability for mailers to update eDoc to exclude mail components (containers, HUs, and pcs) after postage has been paid.
- What happens if mailpcs have already been processed on the MPES?
- We need to define our definitions so everyone is using the same terminology during these discussions to eliminate confusion.