

# MTAC 138

## 06.05.2013

---

### Key Discussion Points

- Action Items from May 22 Meeting
- Scan Based Induction at Non-SV Sites
- SV Facility List
- 3PL Providers – Transportation Companies

### Action Items

- eInduction Team will research if the assigned of a CRID for invoicing will result in all additional postage being charged to the CRID or only mis-shipped containers

### Questions/Comments

- Who is working on the CR to update *PostalOne!* to allow logistics providers to pay additional postage?
  - **Shariq** of the **USPS PostalOne! Team** is working on the CR.
  - Concerns were expressed about the how the functionality would work for eInduction at non-SV locations. There may be instances where a container is originally assigned to a particular truck, but then must be moved to a truck operated by a 3<sup>rd</sup>-party logistics provider. Since eInduction containers going to non-SV locations must be associated to an appointment errors may result when: the container was associated to the wrong or multiple appointments; the container was not associated to any appointment by the 3<sup>rd</sup>-party logistics provider.
- How will the process work to determine whom should receive an invoice?
  - This process is currently under discussion with an IDEAlliance focus group. A detailed process is not available at this time.
  - It was expressed that specification or technical requirements may be required as a result of this functionality since the updates will require Mail.XML or Mail.DAT messages.
  - These items have been discussed with the IDEAlliance group. For the functionality to be included in the January 2014 Release the process must be submitted to the appropriate USPS group by this Friday, June 7.
- Is there a system check to ensure that the CRID being billed is valid for the invoiced mailing?
  - No, there is currently no automatic check to validate that the CRID being billed is valid for the mailing. The option to reassign the invoice to another CRID will be available.
- Will the identified CRID be invoiced for all errors (i.e. undocumented pieces) or just mis-shipped accept containers?
  - This information is included in the requirements of the build. They will need to be reviewed before the question may be answered.
- If a transportation provider subcontracts a mailing can the transportation provider change the invoicing CRID to that of the subcontractor?

# MTAC 138

## 06.05.2013

---

- That functionality has not been discussed for January 2014. Presently, 3<sup>rd</sup>-party providers are only able to update containers to eInduction via Mail.XML messages. It may be necessary for companies to create internal chargeback or invoicing processes.
- Is the ability to choose who receives an invoice done on a job-by-job or long-term contract basis?
  - There may be multiple shippers in a mailing, and inter-mailer contracts change regularly, so invoice assignment would need to be done at the pallet/container level.
- Does the process to update a container require content and appointment information required?
  - Currently, the container update process requires you to include the content and appointment information in the update message. This process will be modified in the October 2013 Release to allow an update using only the IMcb.
- Proposed Scan Based Induction at Non-SV Sites: What happens if a container is accepted, but there are errors?
  - The container would be subject to the current invoicing process. The container would be accepted if the MID was on the approved list. No additional validations would be performed to determine acceptance.
- Does MID registration allow you to determine who should be invoiced?
  - Not in the current feasibility format. The invoicing process would be the same across eInduction and Seamless:
    - Default *PostalOne!* invoicing order: transportation company; mail preparer; then mail owner.
    - An option would exist to update who should be the first entity invoiced.
- Would other users (i.e. transportation companies, consolidators) be able to verify that a MID is on the approved list?
  - This functionality could potentially be included.
- Will mailers still need an 8017 at Origin Locations for eInduction containers?
  - No.