

MTAC 138

05.08.2013

Key Discussion Points:

- DSMS and eInduction
- Contingency Process
- SV List Format

Action Items:

- eInduction Team will work with Processing Operations to validate that removing a 99M placard from first-class origin mail containers will not lead to processing delays
- eInduction Team will prepare short-term SV sit list for posting on RIBBS

Questions/Comments

- Is the current postage statement release process for DSMS going to remain in place?
 - The current postage statement release process will remain in place. Per the current process, time sensitive mail will not be effected.
 - eInduction does not require mailers to have a DSMS system, but it also does not require mailers to get rid of any existing DSMS systems. eInduction provides mailers the option to no longer present hard copy 8125 forms with drop shipment loads.
- Is USPS interface with DSMS being terminated?
 - The role and activities that USPS clerks perform in relation to DSMS will not change. It will be up to the owner of the DSMS system to validate that eInduction containers are not included on 8125-CDs.
- What is the business driver for continuing to allow DSMS systems?
 - eInduction is not a mandatory program, so mailers may continue to use other mail processes. Smaller mailers may choose not to participate in eInduction, which means some consolidators will continue to need the DSMS option.
 - The popularity of eInduction may change based on the roll-out of Seamless Acceptance; however, as a stand-alone program eInduction will not be mandated at this time.
- Are Seamless Acceptance and eInduction voluntary programs?
 - Yes.
- Can eInduction container counts be included on hard copy 8125 forms if they are marked as eInduction?
 - eInduction container counts cannot be included on hard copy 8125 forms. Observed actions during pilot activities have shown that the dock clerks become confused about what containers should be accepted. This leads to delays on the dock while the clerk attempts to reconcile the container counts.
 - There is also a revenue protection element that has been voiced by the SOX Team. The concern is that extra containers will be inducted if eInduction container counts are included on paper documentation.
- Will the contingency process also apply to first-class origin mail?
 - Yes, the contingency process will be the same for all containers barcoded with a 99M placard.

MTAC 138

05.08.2013

- Will having only 1 99M placard on a first-class origin mail container lead to processing delays further down steam?
 - The eInduction Team will work with Processing Operations to validate that removing a 99M placard from first-class origin mail containers will not lead to processing delays.
- In the long-term, where should the SV site information be stored?
 - The presented draft format is good, but should be associated to the label list in some manner.
 - It was suggested that the SV list be included in the address file or the MDF v1.
- How often will the SV site list be updated?
 - The SV list will be updated on the same schedule as the MDF.
- When will the short-term SV site list be available?
 - The eInduction Team is validating the facility information. They will then work with the owners of the RIBBS site to have it posted. It is expected to be available by next Wednesday, May 15. If it is not available by May 15 an update will be provided at the MTAC face-to-face meeting on Thursday, May 16.