

**MTAC Workgroup 129 Meeting Notes  
September 9, 2009**

Greg briefed the team on the list of the high-priority items included with this meeting notice that are being recommended for presentation to leadership.

The team began the discussion of these items and will continue discussing these on the next call, which is on Wednesday, September 30, 2009 from 10:00-11:20 PM.

Item #(s)	Comments
26,58,38	Greg & Wanda agreed that we should proceed with the formation of the task group for these items. The group needs to determine under which circumstances return addresses would <u>not</u> be required. George suggested that appropriate USPS representatives should be involved such as BMA, Operations, and the Inspection Service to work in this group. Greg asked for volunteers. Michelle, Paul, Linda, and Kurt responded that they would like to volunteer.
55,3,28,71	Greg mentioned that 10-15 samples are ready to be presented for review and testing. Steve Colella & Wanda will send samples. Rose will be working on some samples containing flags and stars. All or some of these samples may not be of a rectangular design. As an aside, the word "Presort" is required on First-Class permit imprints but not on Standard Mail.
62	<p>Greg discussed with Mailing and Shipping (M&amp;S) Services to work on streamlining Standard Operating Procedures, but there is no timeline for completion as of yet. Greg will advise of status.</p> <p>Laine asked if M&amp;S Services would involve mailers involving BRM and remittance mail. Wouldn't this group want to discuss this topic with the mailing industry to "bounce ideas" off of them? Any redesign ideas should involve mailers. Communications and partnering are critical and yet it was suggested that we have ample representation from both the mailing industry and the USPS for this effort.</p>
46	Greg will add comments from the face-to-face meeting regarding this item on our main list. Frank said that this tool should be able to model scenarios concerning endorsement selections in addition to the fee calculation functions. This tool could be available on Postal Explorer (PE). Frank also commented that we need input from others. The ROI items will be included on the updated items list.
64	Greg reiterated that the updated Notice 67 will be available in October. However, there is a typo which will be corrected in a subsequent update.
30-2	<p>Greg clarified that clean release/dry release cards are one in the same. We are awaiting feedback on adhesion standards from Engineering.</p> <p>For other types of attachments, such as refrigerator type magnets, we still need to decide what is needed.</p>
47	<p>Greg commented that in addition to plastic envelopes, there are laminated mailpieces that have been processed successfully and were eligible for automation prices such as On-Card. The USPS is currently not equipped to test non-paper surfaces. But we need to assess surcharges that would be less than nonmachinable surcharges. Elizabeth said that she received a mailpiece that appears to be non-paper but was laminated and that's how it qualified for automation prices. Becky said that Operations will be involved and this topic will be discussed first on the next call.</p> <p>The team felt that there needed to be more input/representation in these discussions from the Marketing side of the USPS and not just the Operations side. This was voiced by several industry team members. Greg will follow up with USPS Personnel.</p>